

REMARKS

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-17 and 19-26 are pending, of which claims 1, 19, and 22 are independent method claims with independent computer program product claim 12 corresponding to independent method claim 1. As indicated above, no claims have been amended by this response.

The Office Action rejected all of the independent claims 1, 12, 19, and 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,324,648 issued to Grantges, Jr. (the patent hereinafter referred to as "Grantges"). The remaining dependent claims were rejected as either anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) by Grantges or as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Grantges in view "Wireless Application Protocol Wireless Transport Layer Security" by En (the reference hereinafter referred to as "En").

Accompanying this response is a declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 swearing behind Grantges by establishing a date of invention on, at the latest, December 13, 1999. In particular, the declaration shows concept on, at the latest, December 13, 1999, coupled with diligence in reducing the invention to practice between the time that the invention was conceived, and the time that the invention was reduced to practice. Accordingly, the declaration shows a date of invention that pre-dates the provisional priority filing date of Grantges. Accordingly, Grantges no longer qualifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), and thus all of the rejections should be withdrawn.

In the event that the Examiner finds any remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 5th day of June, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

WORKMAN NYDEGGER



RICK D. NYDEGGER
Registration No. 28,651
ADRIAN J. LEE
Registration No. 42,785
Attorney for Applicant
Customer No. 47973